

Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities is the very first Polish scientific journal published exclusively on the Internet, founded on January 1, 1998 by the following agricultural universities and higher schools of agriculture: University of Technology and Agriculture of Bydgoszcz, Agricultural University of Cracow, Agricultural University of Lublin, Agricultural University of Poznan, Higher School of Agriculture and Teacher Training Siedlce, Agricultural University of Szczecin, and Agricultural University of Wroclaw.



**ELECTRONIC  
JOURNAL  
OF POLISH  
AGRICULTURAL  
UNIVERSITIES**

**2003  
Volume 6  
Issue 2  
Series  
ANIMAL  
HUSBANDRY**

Copyright © Wydawnictwo Akademii Rolniczej we Wrocławiu, ISSN 1505-0297

KORTZ J., SZARUGA R., KAPELAŃSKI W., KURYŁ J., RYBARCZYK A., NATALCZYK-SZYMKOWSKA W. 2003. EFFECT OF RYR1 GENOTYPE ON CARCASS LEANNESS AND PORK QUALITY *Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities*, Animal Husbandry, Volume 6, Issue 2.

Available Online <http://www.ejpau.media.pl>

## **EFFECT OF RYR1 GENOTYPE ON CARCASS LEANNESS AND PORK QUALITY**

Jerzy Kortz<sup>1</sup>, Roman Szaruga<sup>1</sup>, Wojciech Kapelański<sup>2</sup>, Jolanta Kurył<sup>3</sup>, Artur Rybarczyk<sup>1</sup>, Wanda Natalczyk-Szymkowska<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Evaluation of Animal Products, Agricultural University of Szczecin, Poland*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Pig Breeding, University of Technology and Agriculture in Bydgoszcz, Poland*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Genetics and Animal Breeding, Polish Academy of Science, Jastrzębiec, Poland*

---

[ABSTRACT](#)  
[INTRODUCTION](#)  
[MATERIALS AND METHODS](#)  
[RESULTS AND DISCUSSION](#)  
[CONCLUSIONS](#)  
[REFERENCES](#)

---

### **ABSTRACT**

The studies were carried out on 98 carcasses obtained from pure-bred Polish Landrace (PL) hogs, from crosses of (PL x Polish Large White) sows with Pietrain boars, as well as from crossbreeds of Dutch breeds (Landrace x Large White) x Large White. The pigs were slaughtered as soon as they reached 103 kg of body weight, and during the slaughter blood samples were collected for DNA analysis in order to identify individuals carrying the stress-sensitivity gene (RYR1). The carcasses were dissected immediately after cooling. Samples of LD muscle from the right carcass, at the area of the 1st to 4th lumbar vertebra, were collected for pork quality evaluation, i.e. sensory analysis of raw meat, as well as pH<sub>1</sub>, pH<sub>k</sub>, and electric conductivity measurements. Moreover, drip loss, water-binding and meat plasticity were determined, and drip index as well as colour parameters were obtained. Basic chemical composition was also assayed and the content of water-soluble protein was determined. The pork was also estimated in terms of PSE and DFD meat incidence. The pigs genetically susceptible to stress (nn) had the highest level of carcass leanness and worse meat quality in relation to the heterozygotes (Nn) and dominant homozygotes (NN). In most of the analysed meat quality characters, the pigs of the heterozygous genotype (Nn) displayed similarity to dominant homozygotes (NN). The pigs genetically resistant to stress (NN), which had the poorest carcass leanness, were found to have the lowest content of total protein and dry matter in the meat.

**Key words:** pigs, RYR1 genotype, meat quality.

## INTRODUCTION

The long-term selection of pigs for carcass leanness has led to some animals showing abnormalities in the form of metabolic changes undergoing in muscles after slaughter. It is expressed by the inclination of pork to deteriorate its quality and thus by an increased incidence of meat post-slaughter defects [6].

The relationship between an increased carcass leanness and pork quality deterioration has been explained mainly with the presence of the recessive form of the RYR1 gene. Recessive homozygotes (nn) are marked with a higher carcass lean meat content, which at the same time is of a poorer quality. On the other hand, dominant homozygotes (NN) have better pork quality with lower content of lean in the carcass [6, 10, 17]. The heterozygotes (Nn), which are characterised by high carcass leanness together with an optimal meat quality [1], have been described to have a particularly good set of characters.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect that RYR1 genotypes polymorphism has on carcass leanness as well as on the quality and basic chemical composition of pork.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The analyses were carried out on 98 carcasses obtained from pure-bred Polish Landrace (PL) pigs, crosses of PL x Polish Large White sows with Pietrain boars, as well as from crossbreds of Dutch breeds (Landrace x Large White) x Large White. The experimental material comprised hogs managed in the same environmental conditions in the AGRO-WRONIE farm, Wronie, Poland. The feeding of the animals was uniform, with the ration balanced against energy and proteins, according to the Swine Feeding Standards [13].

At the body weight of approx. 103 kg, the hogs were slaughtered at the Meat Processing Plant in Grudziądz, Poland, during which blood samples were collected for PCR/RFLP analysis of DNA, in order to identify the animals affected with the stress-sensitivity gene (RYR1) [2, 12]. In about 45 minutes after slaughter, the meat was measured for pH ( $pH_i$ ) and electric conductivity ( $LF_i$ ), which was done in the LD muscle in the section located between the 4th and 5th lumbar vertebra of the right-side carcass. After 24-hour cooling, the carcasses were dissected according to the methods by Walstra and Merkus [18]. The dissection also allowed collecting samples of the *longissimus dorsi* (LD) muscle at the 1st-4th lumbar vertebrae of the right-side carcass.

In about 48 hours after slaughter, sensory analyses of raw meat were carried out according to Clausen and Thomsen, which included colour, wateriness, and firmness [15]. Moreover, drip loss was measured according to Honikel [4]. In minced meat samples,  $pH_k$  was measured in water extract and water-holding capacity was determined with the method by Grau and Hamm, modified by Pohja and Niinivaara [14]; also the so called *drip index* (IN) was calculated as the ratio of surface area of a squashed sample, referred to as plasticity, to the area of the leakage. Meat colour was determined spectrophotometrically with the simplified method [16], which allowed calculating particular parameters of colour, i.e. the dominant wave-length, saturation, and lightness. The meat was assayed for its basic chemical composition, i.e. protein, fat, ash, and dry matter, according to methods by Kortz [7]; water-soluble proteins content was determined according to Kotik [8]. Also the frequency of PSE and DFD meat was estimated based on the  $Q_8$ , according to Grajewska et al. [3]. Statistical analysis and verification of differences in the meat quality traits occurring between the individual genetic groups were done with one-way ANOVA using STATISTICA PL, v. 6.0 software.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The studies allowed confirming the significant effect of the nn genotype on lean content in the carcass. The animals of this genotype were characteristic for the highest carcass leanness ([Table 1](#)) in relation to the hogs of the heterozygous genotype (Nn), and those genetically resistant to stress (NN), which confirmed the results of previous studies by other authors [6, 17]. On the other hand, the results of the studies [1] were not confirmed, where the heterozygotes (Nn) had higher carcass lean content in relation to the homozygotes resistant to stress (NN), as no significant differences were found between these genotypes.

**Table 1. Means ( $\bar{x}$ ) and standard deviations (s) of physicochemical traits of meat in relation to RYR1 genotype**

Trait		RYR1 genotype		
		nn n = 11	Nn n = 36	NN n = 51
Carcass lean content (%)	$\bar{x}$	56.01 <sup>A</sup>	52.05 <sup>B</sup>	51.60 <sup>B</sup>
	s	1.98	3.55	2.96
Hot carcass weight	$\bar{x}$	79.07	80.70	80.36
	s	4.10	4.84	4.22
pH <sub>1</sub>	$\bar{x}$	5.71 <sup>A</sup>	6.02 <sup>B</sup>	6.36 <sup>C</sup>
	s	0.14	0.31	0.33
pH <sub>k</sub>	$\bar{x}$	5.51	5.50	5.52
	s	0.11	0.15	0.10
LF <sub>1</sub> (mS/cm)	$\bar{x}$	8.78 <sup>A</sup>	4.42 <sup>B</sup>	3.63 <sup>B</sup>
	s	4.21	1.35	0.96
Lightness (%)	$\bar{x}$	30.12 <sup>A</sup>	26.94 <sup>B</sup>	25.11 <sup>B</sup>
	s	5.26	4.83	3.05
Saturation (%)	$\bar{x}$	24.27 <sup>A</sup>	21.64 <sup>B</sup>	20.11 <sup>C</sup>
	s	2.95	2.69	2.01
Drip loss (%)	$\bar{x}$	6.69 <sup>A</sup>	4.50 <sup>B</sup>	2.50 <sup>C</sup>
	s	2.18	2.45	1.33
Bound water content (% of total water)	$\bar{x}$	67.44 <sup>A</sup>	70.73 <sup>B</sup>	73.10 <sup>B</sup>
	s	3.77	4.68	3.74
Plasticity (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$\bar{x}$	1.78 <sup>A</sup>	2.00 <sup>B</sup>	2.24 <sup>C</sup>
	s	0.22	0.25	0.28
Drip index (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$\bar{x}$	0.20 <sup>A</sup>	0.24 <sup>B</sup>	0.27 <sup>C</sup>
	s	0.04	0.05	0.05
Water-soluble protein (% in meat)	$\bar{x}$	7.02 <sup>A</sup>	8.54 <sup>B</sup>	8.54 <sup>B</sup>
	s	0.76	1.22	0.82

A, B, C - differ significantly at  $p \leq 0.01$ .

The results of the sensory analysis ([Table 2](#)) allow a definite conclusion that the genetically-susceptible to stress hogs (nn) produced meat of the worst quality, as they showed the lowest parameter values of colour, wateriness, and firmness, and differed significantly from those of the heterozygous genotype (Nn) and the dominant homozygotes (NN). It was also found that the genetically resistant to stress hogs (NN) were characterised by the highest mean scores of the sensory analysis, while the heterozygote group (Nn) of hogs showed intermediate values in relation to the nn and NN genotype groups of pigs. Statistically significant differences were also found in the plasticity of meat between the hogs of the NN, Nn, and nn genotypes.

**Table 2. Means ( $\bar{x}$ ) and standard deviations (s) of sensory traits of meat in relation to RYR1 genotype**

Trait		RYR1 genotype		
		Nn n = 11	Nn n = 36	NN n = 51
Colour (points)	$\bar{x}$	2.00 <sup>A</sup>	2.46 <sup>B</sup>	2.60 <sup>B</sup>
	s	0.62	0.60	0.42
Wateriness (points)	$\bar{x}$	1.79 <sup>A</sup>	2.34 <sup>B</sup>	2.68 <sup>B</sup>
	s	0.56	0.62	0.42
Firmness (points)	$\bar{x}$	1.99 <sup>A</sup>	2.60 <sup>B</sup>	2.80 <sup>B</sup>
	s	0.65	0.54	0.39

A, B – differ significantly at  $p \leq 0.01$ .

The meat of the hogs of the nn genotype, of the highest carcass lean meat content, was characterised by the lowest mean pH<sub>1</sub>, the highest electric conductivity, the highest colour lightness and saturation, drip loss, the lowest bound water content, the lowest drip index, and the lowest water-soluble protein content in relation to the meat of the hogs of the heterozygous genotype (Nn) and those genetically resistant to stress (NN), (Table 1). The presented results confirm the negative relationship between carcass lean meat content and the quality characters of meat. This negative effect grows with an increased percentage of the nn-genotype pigs [1].

The heterozygous Nn-genotype hogs, in respect to such traits as electric conductivity, colour lightness, bound water and water-soluble protein content, are closer to the dominant homozygotes (NN). According to pH<sub>1</sub>, however, colour saturation, drip loss, and drip index, the heterozygotes were characterised by intermediate values in relation to the nn- and NN hogs (Table 1).

Total protein content (Table 3) was the lowest in the group of pigs resistant to stress (NN), which had the lowest carcass leanness in relation to the Nn and nn pigs, which in turn indicates that total protein content in muscles grows with increased leanness. Total protein content probably influenced the differences in dry matter content between the discussed genotypes, as the stress-resistant NN-genotype hogs showed its lowest level.

**Table 3. Means ( $\bar{x}$ ) and standard deviations (s) of chemical composition of meat in relation to RYR1 genotype**

Meat quality grade		RYR1 genotype			Total
		Nn n = 11	Nn n = 36	NN n = 51	
PSE	n	3	1	-	4
	%	27	3	-	5
Partly PSE	n	1	6	1	8
	%	9	17	2	8
Normal	n	7	25	46	78
	%	64	69	90	79
Partly DFD	n	-	4	4	8
	%	-	11	8	8

**Table 4. Frequency of normal and defective meat in relation to RYR1 genotype**

Trait		RYR1 genotype		
		Nn n = 11	Nn n = 36	NN n = 51
Protein (%)	$\bar{x}$	23.04 <sup>A</sup>	22.63 <sup>A</sup>	22.00 <sup>B</sup>
	s	0.77	0.79	0.75
Fat (%)	$\bar{x}$	1.72	2.15	2.19
	s	0.46	0.79	0.82
Ash (%)	$\bar{x}$	1.12	1.09	1.10
	s	0.07	0.14	0.21
Dry matter (%)	$\bar{x}$	25.92 <sup>A</sup>	25.99 <sup>A</sup>	25.37 <sup>B</sup>
	s	0.61	0.90	0.72

A, B - differ significantly at  $p \leq 0.01$ .

Analysing the frequency of normal versus defective meat (Table 4), it was found that not all the stress-sensitive hogs (nn) displayed PSE meat (64%). What is more, partly-PSE meat (2%) was found as well as DFD meat (8%) in those genetically-resistant to stress (NN). Among the heterozygous genotype animals (Nn), the largest number of carcasses were with normal meat (69%), then with partly-PSE meat (17%) and DFD meat (11%), and the least number of carcasses had PSE meat (3%). On the other hand, the largest proportion of normal meat in the entire material was found in the NN hogs. The results confirm previous results by other authors [5, 9, 11], who reported the most cases of PSE meat in the group of stress-sensitive homozygotes (nn), in comparison with heterozygotes (Nn) and dominant homozygotes (NN).

### CONCLUSIONS

1. The pigs genetically sensitive to stress (nn) displayed the highest carcass lean meat content and poorer meat quality in relation to the heterozygous hogs (Nn) and dominant homozygotes (NN).
2. The hogs of the heterozygous genotype (Nn) display similarity to dominant homozygotes (NN) in most of the analysed meat quality characters.
3. The pigs genetically resistant to stress (NN), which had the poorest carcass leanness, were found to have the lowest content of total protein and dry matter in the meat.

### REFERENCES

1. Blicharski T., Ostrowski A., 1998. Carcass leanness versus quality and microstructure of meat of Hal<sup>n</sup>Hal<sup>n</sup> or Haj<sup>n</sup>Hal<sup>n</sup> genotype of Pietrain pigs [Mięsność tuszy oraz jakość i mikrostruktura mięsa tuczników pietrain o genotypie Hal<sup>n</sup>Hal<sup>n</sup> lub Haj<sup>n</sup>Hal<sup>n</sup>]. Pr. Mater. Zootech. Zesz. Spec. 8, 73-80 [in Polish].
2. Fujii J., Otsu K.F., Zorzato S. De Leon., Khanna V.K., Weiler J.E., O'Brien P., MacLennan. D.H., 1991. Identification of a mutation in porcine ryanodine receptor associated with malignant hyperthermia. Science 253, 448-451.
3. Grajewska S., Kortz J., Różycka J., 1984. Estimation of the incidence of PSE and DFD in pork. Proc. Sci. Meeting Biophysical PSE – muscle analysis. Vienna, 72-89.
4. Honikel K. O., 1987. pH and water binding of meat. Fleischwirtschaft 67 ( 9), 1098-1102.
5. Koćwin-Podsiadła M., 1998. Technological value of meat from heterozygous pigs with Hal<sup>n</sup> and RN<sup>n</sup> genes. Supplement to Pol. J. Food. Nutr. Sci. 7/48, 4 (S), 90-100.
6. Koćwin-Podsiadła M., Przybylski W., Tarczyńska B. 1998. Characteristics of PSE and RSE meat of pigs with the Hal<sup>n</sup> gene [Charakterystyka mięsa PSE i RSE świń obciążonych genem Hal<sup>n</sup>]. Pr. Mater. Zootech. Zesz. Spec. 8, 39-44 [in Polish].
7. Kortz J., 1986. An attempt to derive a comprehensive index of pork quality as a criterion for normal, PSE, and DFD muscle classification (exemplified by boar and hog meat) [Próba wyznaczenia syntetycznego wskaźnika jakości mięsa wieprzowego jako kryterium różnicowania mięśni normalnych, PSE i DFD (na przykładzie mięsa knurów i wieprzków)]. Rozpr. AR Szczec. 100 [in Polish].
8. Kotik T., 1974. Protein content in water extracts of meat as an index of its quality [Zawartość białka w ekstraktach wodnych mięsa jako wskaźnik jego jakości]. Roczn. IPM, 12, 47-52 [in Polish].
9. Krzęcio E., Koćwin-Podsiadła M., Przybylski W., Kurył J., 1998. Slaughter value and meat quality of heterozygous pigs HAL<sup>n</sup>HAL<sup>n</sup> of Polish Landrace line 23 and F<sub>1</sub> (PL-23 x Pietrain) crossbreds [Wartość rzeźna i jakość mięsa

- tuczników heterozygotycznych HAL<sup>N</sup>HAL<sup>n</sup> linii pbz-23 i mieszańców F<sub>1</sub> (pbz-23 x pietrain)]. Pr. Mater. Zootech. Zesz. Spec. 8, 45-51 [in Polish].
10. Kurył J., 1998. Genes influencing carcass and meat quality of pigs [Geny oddziaływujące na jakość tuszy i mięsa świń]. Pr. Mater. Zootech. Zesz. Spec. 8, 9-17 [in Polish].
  11. Kurył J., Koćwin-Podsiadła M., Przybylski W., 1994. The efficiency of prediction of PSE pork meat on the basis of halothane test and HAL-GPI-AIBG-PGD haplotyping and PCR/RFLP analysis [in: 40<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Meat Science and Technology]. Hage, Netherlands S-IV A.07.
  12. Kurył J., Korwin-Kossakowska A., 1993. Genotyping of Hal locus by PCR method explains some cases of incomplete penetrance of Hal<sup>n</sup> gene. Anim. Sci. Pap. Rep. 11, 271-277.
  13. Swine Feeding Standards [Normy Żywienia Świń]. 1993. Institute of Animal physiology and Feeding, Polish Academy of Science, Omnitech Press, Warszawa [in Polish].
  14. Pohja M.S., Niinivaara F.P., 1957. Die Bestimmung des Wasserbindung des Fleisches mittels der Konstankdruck methode. Fleischwirtschaft 9, 193-196, [in German].
  15. Różyczka J., Grajewska S., Kortz J., 1975. A simple subjective method of pig meat quality evaluation [Prostoj subiektivnyj metod ocenki kaczestwa mijasa swinie]. II Symp. Prod. I Kaczestwa Mjasa w Usłowiach Krupnowo Proizwodztwa (Produkcja i jakośc mięsa w warunkach wielkotowarowych). Stary Smokovec. Wysokie Tatry CSRS [in Russian].
  16. Różyczka J., Kortz J., Grajewska-Kołaczyk S., 1968. A simplified method of the objective measurement of colour in fresh pork meat. Roczn. Nauk Rol. 90-B-3, 345-353.
  17. Siellier P., 1998. Genetics of meat and carcass traits. [W:] The genetics of the pig. Red. M. F. Rothschild and A. Ruvinsug. CAB International Wallingford, Oxon, UK, 463-510.
  18. Walstra P., Merkus G.S.M., 1996. Procedure for assessment of the lean meat percentage as a consequence of the new UE reference dissection method in pig carcass classification. Raport ID-DLO 96.014 Res. Inst. An. Sci. and Health, Zeist, Netherland.
- 

Jerzy Kortz, Roman Szaruga, Artur Rybarczyk, Wanda Natalczyk-Szymkowska

Department of Evaluation of Animal Products

Agricultural University of Szczecin

Doktora Judyma 24, 71-466 Szczecin, Poland

e-mail: [I.Kortz@biot.ar.szczecin.pl](mailto:I.Kortz@biot.ar.szczecin.pl)

Wojciech Kapelański

Department of Pig Breeding

University of Technology and Agriculture in Bydgoszcz

Mazowiecka 28, 85-084 Bydgoszcz, Poland

e-mail: [Kapelanski@mail.atr.bydgoszcz.pl](mailto:Kapelanski@mail.atr.bydgoszcz.pl)

Jolanta Kurył

Institute of Genetics and Animal Breeding

Polish Academy of Science, Jastrzębiec,

Postępu 1, 05-552 Wólka Kosowska, Poland

e-mail: [panighz@atos.warman.com.pl](mailto:panighz@atos.warman.com.pl)

---

[Responses](#) to this article, comments are invited and should be submitted within three months of the publication of the article. If accepted for publication, they will be published in the chapter headed 'Discussions' in each series and hyperlinked to the article.

---

[\[BACK\]](#) [\[MAIN\]](#) [\[HOW TO SUBMIT\]](#) [\[SUBSCRIPTION\]](#) [\[ISSUES\]](#) [\[SEARCH\]](#)

---